# **EXHIBIT 6**

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	x
5	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE Case No.
	ANTITRUST LITIGATION 3:21-md-02981-JD
6	
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
8	Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC, et al.,
	Case No: 3:20-cv-05671-JD
9	
	In re Google Play Consumer
10	Antitrust Litigation,
	Case No: 3:20-cv-05761-JD
11	
	In re Google Play Developer
12	Antitrust Litigation,
	Case No: 3:20-cv-05792-JD
13	
14	State of Utah, et al.,
	v. Google LLC, et al.,
15	Case No: 3:21-cv-05227-JD
16	x
17	
18	*HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY*
19	
20	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
21	PAUL BANKHEAD
22	Wednesday, May 11, 2022
23	
24	Reported By: Lynne Ledanois, CSR 6811
25	

	Page 2
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	x
5	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE Case No.
	ANTITRUST LITIGATION 3:21-md-02981-JD
6	
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
8	Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC, et al.,
	Case No: 3:20-cv-05671-JD
9	
	In re Google Play Consumer
10	Antitrust Litigation,
	Case No: 3:20-cv-05761-JD
11	
	In re Google Play Developer
12	Antitrust Litigation,
4.0	Case No: 3:20-cv-05792-JD
13	
14	State of Utah, et al.,
15	v. Google LLC, et al., Case No: 3:21-cv-05227-JD
16	Case No: 5:21-CV-05227-JD
17	<b>x</b>
18	Videotaped deposition of PAUL BANKHEAD,
19	taken at MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS, 1400 Page Mill
20	Road, Palo Alto, California, commencing at
21	9:04 a.m., on Wednesday, May 11, 2022, before Lynne
22	Ledanois, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 6811.
23	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES
2	Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. in:
3	Epic Games, Inc. v Google LLC, et al:
4	CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
5	BY: YONATAN EVEN
6	ELIZABETH SHEREFF
7	Attorneys at Law
8	825 Eighth Avenue
9	New York, New York 10019
10	yeven@cravath.com
11	eshereff@cravath.com
12	
13	Counsel for the Proposed Class In re: Google
14	Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and Pure
15	Sweat Basketball, Inc:
16	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
17	BY: TED WOJCIK
18	Attorney at Law
19	1301 Second Avenue
20	Suite 2000
21	Seattle, Washington 98101
22	tedw@hbsslaw.com
23	
24	
25	///

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	Counsel for the Consumer Plaintiffs In re:
4	Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation:
5	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP
6	BY: HAE SUNG NAM
7	AARON SCHWARTZ
8	Attorneys at Law
9	850 Third Avenue
10	New York, New York 10022
11	hnam@kaplanfox.com
12	Aschwartz@kaplanfox.com
13	
14	Counsel for Google LLC, et al:
15	MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON LLP
16	BY: JONATHAN KRAVIS
17	DANE P. SHIKMAN
18	Attorneys at Law
19	601 Massachusetts Avenue NW
20	Suite 500E
21	Washington, DC 20001
22	jonathan.kravis@mto.com
23	dane.shikman@mto.com
2 4	
25	///

	Page 5
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	Counsel for District of Columbia:
4	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
5	BY: ADAM GITLIN
6	Attorney at Law
7	400 6th Street, NW
8	Suite 10100
9	Washington, DC 20001
10	adam.gitlin@dc.gov
11	
12	Counsel for State of Utah:
13	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN LLP
14	BY: BRENDAN GLACKIN
15	275 Battery Street
16	29th Floor
17	San Francisco
18	bglackin@agutah.gov
19	
20	ALSO PRESENT:
21	Cyril Suszckiewicz, Videographer
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 121
1	BY MS. NAM:
2	Q Is it your understanding that Facebook
3	used that product AlleyOop?
4	A I believe they used it on a trial basis.
5	Q Do you know if other applications used
6	AlleyOop besides Facebook?
7	A I believe there was a small list of kind
8	of it was a beta product, there was a small list of
9	developers that tried it.
10	Q Okay. Do you recall who those developers
11	were?
12	A I believe Pinterest and Twitter and some
13	others, but the others have left my memory.
14	Q Do you have an understanding as to why
15	Google offered these products to those applications?
16	A I believe they asked for it.
17	Q If you take a look at the document at the
18	page Bates stamped 412.
19	A Yes.
20	Q And if you look at Mr. Petrillo's email
21	sent at 8:01 a.m.
22	A Yes.
23	Q Who is Mr. Petrillo?
24	A Mr. Petrillo was a member of a business
25	strategy team in Google Play.

	Page 134
1	by the court reporter.)
2	BY MS. NAM:
3	Q I'll represent to you this is a document
4	that was found in your files.
5	A Okay.
6	Q Do you recognize this document at all?
7	A Vaguely.
8	Q What do you recognize about this document?
9	A The concepts in it.
10	Q Can you explain the concepts in it that
11	you recognize?
12	A It looks like a program describing the thing
13	called the internally was called AlleyOop.
14	Q So the Google Play app access program
15	addendum is referring to the AlleyOop product?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And if you take a look at the program
18	description, it says, "Google is offering a beta
19	group of publisher partners the opportunity to
20	participate in the program."
21	Do you see that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q What is a publisher partner?
24	A A publisher is another word for an app
25	developer.

	Page 135
1	Q Okay. Were there certain types of app
2	developers that Google was offering this program to?
3	A I don't remember the criteria we used to
4	select who was in the program.
5	Q Was it social platforms?
6	A I don't recall that being a criteria.
7	Q So if you go down to Part 3.
8	A Eligibility requirements?
9	Q Eligibility requirements. "In order to
10	participate in the program, publisher must meet the
11	following eligibility requirements: A, during the
12	term, publisher must be in compliance and maintain
13	compliance with the Google Play developer program
14	policies."
15	A Yep.
16	Q "And the DDA and all applications
17	distributed through Google Play in all territories."
18	Right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q "B, Publisher must not distribute other
21	than pre-installed APKs or update any first-party or
22	any third-party APKs by any mechanism other than
23	Google Play in any application in all territories."
24	Do you see that?
25	A Yes.

	Page 218
1	I, LYNNE M. LEDANOIS, a Certified
2	Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do
3	hereby certify:
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
5	before me at the time and place herein set forth;
6	that a record of the proceedings was made by me
7	using machine shorthand which was thereafter
8	transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing
9	transcript is a true record of the testimony given.
10	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to
11	the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal
12	Case, before completion of the proceedings, review
13	of the transcript [X] was [ ] wasn't requested.
14	I further certify I am neither financially
15	interested in the action nor a relative or employee
16	of any attorney or party to this action.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
18	subscribed my name.
19	
20	Dated: May 12, 2022.
21	
22	
23	
	Lynne Marie Ledanois
24	
	LYNNE MARIE LEDANOIS
25	CSR No. 6811

## Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 958-18 Filed 05/02/24 Page 11 of 11

	Page 221
1	In Re Google Play Store Antitrust Litigation
2	5/11/2022 - Paul Bankhead (#5122889)
3	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT
4	I, Paul Bankhead, do hereby declare that I
5	have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any
6	corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as
7	noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is
8	a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony
9	given by me.
10	
11	
12	Paul Bankhead Date
13	*If notary is required
14	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS
15	, DAY OF, 20
16	
17	
18	
19	NOTARY PUBLIC
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	